UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,678	04/04/2006	Ralf Edelbrock	Q106467	4205	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 03/11/200 ON, PLLC	9	EXAM	IINER	
2100 PENNSY	100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. MOY, ANNIE			ANNIE	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/574,678	EDELBROCK, RALF Art Unit 2629 N/A. o if an agreement was paragraph was being gwhich Attorney points out it. After consideration 8.34 should be the proper greed would render the clair would render the claims E SUBSTANCE OF THE by been filed, APPLICANT IS TY DAYS FROM THIS, WHICHEVER IS LATER, T	ALF			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANNIE MOY	2629				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>ANNIE MOY</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>George Lehnigk (36359)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 26 February 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>6-10</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney George Lehnigk point out an incorrent form paragraph was being used. Form paragraph 8.38 was used for last office action in regards to Double patenting which Attorney points out is rarely used in the TC and it does not allow for the Attorney to file for terminal disclaimer. After consideration Examiner acknowledges form paragraph 8.38 is incorrect and form paragraph 8.33 and 8.34 should be the proper form paragraph that should be used.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO			
/A. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 2629	/Sumati Lefkowitz/	nit 2629				

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629